Alternative Proposal Submission for Paris 2024 Olympic Sailing Competition

Individual Men’s and Women’s Kiteboard Events – Formula Kite

IKA Formula Kite Class, St. Kitts and Nevis and Sailing Association, Barbados Sailing Association Inc, Trinidad & Tobago Yachting Association, MEX MNA, St Vincent and the Grenadines Sailing Association, Antigua and Barbuda Sailing Association, Norwegian Sailing Federation and Estonian Yachting Union and the Danish Sailing Association, Federacion Dominicana de Vela

Recommendation from the Reporting Committees:
Events

Recommendation to Council: Approve

Two submissions were approved and seconded, M01-21 and M02-21. The Events Committee ran a ballot vote between M01-21 and M02-21. M01-21 received 6 votes; M02-21 received 7 votes. There were 2 abstentions and 1 invalid vote. One Committee member was not present for the voting. M01-21 is therefore recommended as the second alternative event.

Equipment

Recommendation to Council: Approve

Two submissions were approved and seconded, M01-21 and M02-21. The Equipment Committee ran a ballot vote between M01-21 and M02-21. M01-21 received 10 votes; M02-21 received 7 votes. There were 2 abstentions. M01-21 is therefore recommended as the first alternative event.

Recommendation from Other Committee:
Constitution

Opinion: Valid
Subject to policy.
Alternative Proposal Submission for Paris 2024 Olympic Sailing Competition

Individual Men’s and Women’s Two Person Dinghy events - International 470 Class

International 470 Class Association, International 420 Class Association, Chinese Yachting Association, Japan Sailing Federation

Recommendation from the Reporting Committees:

Events

Recommendation to Council: Approve
Two submissions were approved and seconded, M01-21 and M02-21. The Events Committee ran a ballot vote between M01-21 and M02-21. M01-21 received 6 votes; M02-21 received 7 votes. There were 2 abstentions and 1 invalid vote. One Committee member was not present for the voting. **M02-21 is therefore recommended as the first alternative event.**

Equipment

Recommendation to Council: Approve
Two submissions were approved and seconded, M01-21 and M02-21. The Equipment Committee ran a ballot vote between M01-21 and M02-21. M01-21 received 10 votes; M02-21 received 7 votes. There were 2 abstentions. **M02-21 is therefore recommended as the second alternative event.**

Recommendation from Other Committee:
Constitution

Opinion: Valid
Subject to policy.
Alternative Proposal Submission for Paris 2024 Olympic Sailing Competition

Mixed Team Racing Event – ILCA 6

Team Racing Sub Committee, endorsed by the Chairman of the Events Committee

Recommendation from the Reporting Committees:

Events

Recommendation to Council: Reject
Proposed but not seconded. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Equipment

Recommendation to Council: Reject
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Recommendation from Other Committee:

Constitution

Opinion: Valid
Subject to policy.
Alternative Proposal Submission for Paris 2024 Olympic Sailing Competition

Mixed Two Person Keelboat - Star

International Star Class Yacht Class Racing Association

Recommendation from the Reporting Committees:

Events

Recommendation to Council: Reject
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Equipment

Recommendation to Council: Reject
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Recommendation from Other Committee:

Constitution

Opinion: Valid
Subject to policy.
Alternative Proposal Submission for Paris 2024 Olympic Sailing Competition

Mixed Match Racing Four Person Keelboat

Match Racing Sub Committee, endorsed by the Chairman of the Events Committee

Recommendation from the Reporting Committees:

Events

Recommendation to Council: Reject
Proposed but not seconded. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Equipment

Recommendation to Council: Reject
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Recommendation from Other Committee:

Constitution

Opinion: Valid
Subject to policy.
**Alternative Proposal Submission for Paris 2024 Olympic Sailing Competition**

**Mixed Match Racing Two Person Keelboat – Far East 19**

International FAREAST 28R Class Association

---

**Recommendation from the Reporting Committees:**

**Events**

*Recommendation to Council: Reject*

No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

**Equipment**

*Recommendation to Council: Reject*

No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

---

**Recommendation from Other Committee:**

**Constitution**

*Opinion: Valid*

Subject to policy.
Alternative Proposal Submission for Paris 2024 Olympic Sailing Competition

Mixed Match Racing Two Person Keelboat

Bermuda Sailing Association

Recommendation from the Reporting Committees:
Events

Recommendation to Council: Reject
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Equipment

Recommendation to Council: Reject
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Recommendation from Other Committee:
Constitution

Opinion: Valid
Subject to policy.
**Alternative Proposal Submission for Paris 2024 Olympic Sailing Competition**

Mixed One Person Keelboat

Int. 2.4mR Class

**Recommendation from the Reporting Committees:**

**Events**

*Recommendation to Council: Reject*
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

**Equipment**

*Recommendation to Council: Reject*
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

**Recommendation from Other Committee:**

**Constitution**

*Opinion: Valid*
Subject to policy.
Alternative Proposal Submission for Paris 2024 Olympic Sailing Competition

Mixed One Person Dinghy Slalom Relay – ICLA 6 and ICLA 7

International Laser Class Association (ILCA)

Recommendation from the Reporting Committees:
Events

Recommendation to Council: Reject
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Equipment

Recommendation to Council: Reject
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Recommendation from Other Committee:
Constitution

Opinion: Valid
Subject to policy.
Alternative Proposal Submission for Paris 2024 Olympic Sailing Competition

Mixed One Person Dinghy Team – Finn and ICLA 6

International Finn Association

Recommendation from the Reporting Committees:
Events

Recommendation to Council: Reject
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Equipment

Recommendation to Council: Reject
Proposed but not seconded. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Recommendation from Other Committee:
Constitution

Opinion: Valid
Subject to policy.
Alternative Proposal Submission for Paris 2024 Olympic Sailing Competition

Mixed One Person Dinghy Team – Finn and Europe

International Finn Association and the International Europe Class Union

Recommendation from the Reporting Committees:

Events

Recommendation to Council: Reject
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Equipment

Recommendation to Council: Reject
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Recommendation from Other Committee:

Constitution

Opinion: Valid
Subject to policy.
Alternative Proposal Submission for Paris 2024 Olympic Sailing Competition

Finn Men, Europe Women

Bulgarian Sailing Federation

Recommendation from the Reporting Committees:

Events

Recommendation to Council: Reject
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Equipment

Recommendation to Council: Reject
Not discussed. The Committee noted that the Constitution Committee considered the submission Invalid. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Recommendation from Other Committee:

Constitution

Opinion: Invalid
The Submission lacks the mandatory detail required.
Alternative Proposal Submission for Paris 2024 Olympic Sailing Competition

Men’s One Person Dinghy and Women’s One Person Dinghy – Finn and 470

Finnish Sailing and Boating Federation

Recommendation from the Reporting Committees:
Events

Recommendation to Council: Reject
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Equipment

Recommendation to Council: Reject
Not discussed. The Committee noted that the Constitution Committee considered the submission Invalid. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Recommendation from Other Committee:
Constitution

Opinion: Invalid
The Submission does not satisfy Regulation 23.1.11(c) as it proposes to change an already approved Olympic Event that is not a split.
Alternative Proposal Submission for Paris 2024 Olympic Sailing Competition

Open One Person Dinghy - Finn

Finnish Sailing and Boating Federation and Estonian Yachting Union

Recommendation from the Reporting Committees:

Events

**Recommendation to Council: Reject**
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Equipment

**Recommendation to Council: Reject**
Not discussed. The Committee noted that the Constitution Committee considered the submission Invalid. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Recommendation from Other Committee:

Constitution

**Opinion: Invalid**
The Submission does not satisfy Regulation 23.1.11(d)(ii) as it does not keep full gender equality on both number of events and athlete quotas.
Alternative Proposal Submission for Paris 2024 Olympic Sailing Competition

Men’s One Person Dinghy - Finn

Swedish Sailing Federation, Estonian Yachting Union, Ott Kallas, Secretary General

Recommendation from the Reporting Committees:

Events

Recommendation to Council: Reject
No proposer or seconder. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Equipment

Recommendation to Council: Reject
Not discussed. The Committee noted that the Constitution Committee considered the submission Invalid. See Appendix 1 for the Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party report.

Recommendation from Other Committee:

Constitution

Opinion: Invalid
The Submission does not satisfy gender requirements set out in Regulation 23.1.11(d)(ii) as it only proposes a men’s event.
Submissions - Appendix 1

Events Committee and Equipment Committee Joint Alternative Submissions Working Party Report
Combined Events and Equipment Committee Working Party

Assessment of Alternative Events for 2024 Olympic Games

Mid-Year Meeting 2021

Introduction

Overview

A combined Events and Equipment Committee Working Party was formed to consider the late submissions approved by the Board to the Events and Equipment Committee on the Sailing events for the 2024 Olympic Games.

The framework for the WP was provided by the 2021 amendments to Regulation 23 of the World Sailing Regulations and with regard to the criteria outlined within the correspondence from the IOC and Agenda 2020+5. It was recognized that non-compliance would risk World Sailings 10th medal for the 2024 Olympic Games.

The objective of the Working Party is to provide a comprehensive analysis of the submissions across the proposed set of criteria, which will help the decision-making process defined by Regulation 23.

In so doing, the WP considered which submissions were most likely to be accepted by the IOC and noted that any Alternative Events subsequently approved by the IOC, would be automatically reviewed for the 2028 Olympic Sailing Competition (Regulation 23.1.11 (f))

Approach

Of the 6 criteria framework provided by the IOC the Working Party viewed four criteria as objectively binary (Yes or No). All of the submissions received by World Sailing were initially tested against these objective criteria, as listed below.

- Keep full gender equality on both number of events and athlete quotas
- Alternative mixed-gender events or split of currently approved mixed events into men’s and women’s events
- Should have been previously tested at the respective World Championships organised by World Sailing
- Use existing venues/ fields of play

Submissions that passed all four objective criteria where then assessed against the subjective IOC criteria which have been broken down into 7 areas of review:

- Align with Olympic Agenda 2020+5
- Prioritise universality
- Minimize cost and complexity
- Format
- Youth Appeal
- Equipment
- Media

The Working Party has reviewed the 15 late submissions approved by the Board. (As at 8th of May 2021). See Appendix 1 for an overview.
The Working Party in applying the initial objective test determine that 2 of the 15 submissions comply with the objective criteria of the IOC. These two submissions have been further reviewed according to the 7 additional subjective criteria.

The Working Party also noted that during the Buenos Aires 2018 YOG some sports combined existing events into a single event to fit within their competition’s medal allocation and the IOC’s post Games review of these combined events did not endorse them as genuine events. This message is clearly visible in the approved program for the Dakar YOG as these combined events are all removed and replaced with the existing single events – see cycling & sports climbing.

Objective Criteria Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective Criteria</th>
<th>M01</th>
<th>M02</th>
<th>M03</th>
<th>M04</th>
<th>M05</th>
<th>M06</th>
<th>M07</th>
<th>M08</th>
<th>M09</th>
<th>M10</th>
<th>M11</th>
<th>M12</th>
<th>M13</th>
<th>M14</th>
<th>M15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Criteria 2</strong> – Maintain gender equality</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Criteria 2</strong> - Alternative mixed-gender events or split of currently approved mixed events into men’s and women’s events</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Criteria 4</strong> - World Championship organised by WS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Criteria 6</strong> - Use of existing venues/Fields of Play</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1 – SUMMARY RESULTS OF THE OBJECTIVE CRITERIA TEST**

World Championship organised by World Sailing

The Working Party took the literal meaning of the IOC Criteria 4 that an event proposed should have been previously tested at the respective World Championships organised by World Sailing.

The test applied was if there was a past World Champion(s) in the event’s proposed format, equipment, and gender mix from a regatta run by World Sailing.
Subjective Criteria Tests

The two submissions that passed the Objective Test were M01-21 and M02-21. The Working Party then reviewed these two submissions against the subjective tests and a 5-point scale has been applied to each submission. The Working Party also made note of additional considerations that the Events and Equipment Committee are likely to discuss to fully debate these events in their deliberations.

Summary of Subjective Criteria Test Results

The following table is a consolidation of the discussions presented in the paragraphs below and shows the results of the Working Parties assessment against each subjective criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjective Criteria</th>
<th>M01-21</th>
<th>M02-21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Align with Olympic Agenda 2020+5</td>
<td>4 (Medium to High)</td>
<td>2 (Medium to Low)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Prioritise universality</td>
<td>3 (Medium)</td>
<td>2 (Medium to Low)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Cost and complexity</td>
<td>3 (Medium)</td>
<td>3 (Medium)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Format</td>
<td>3 (Medium)</td>
<td>2 (Medium to Low)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Youth Appeal</td>
<td>4 (Medium to High)</td>
<td>2 (Medium to Low)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Equipment</td>
<td>5 (High)</td>
<td>5 (High)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Media</td>
<td>4 (Medium to High)</td>
<td>2 (Medium to Low)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>26</strong></td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2 – SUMMARY RESULTS OF SUBJECTIVE CRITERIA TEST**

Additional Considerations

**M01-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Kiteboarding Events**
- The existing mixed relay format is a unique signature event.
- Kiteboard shows strong signs of promise (YOG success) but is yet untested within the Olympic arena.
- Allocation of 4 of 10 medals to Board equipment is not reflective of global participation.
- The introduction of Kiteboarding as one of the Olympic Sailing Events has also triggered some movement of sailors from other classes.

**M02-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Two Person Dinghy Events**
- Well understood alternative
- Potentially ‘safe’ option for existing NOC’s who are currently participating within the class.
- There are already mixed programs in place in a number of MNAs as per the 2021 European Championship.
1. Align with Olympic Agenda 2020+5, including relevance to the youth, innovation, universality and participation of the best athletes.

| M01-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Kiteboarding Events | • Foiling Kites is visually spectacular with high media appeal and is at the forefront of innovation within sailing.  
• High youth appeal with the potential to reach out beyond the Olympic community.  
• Potential for universality due to moderate cost level of equipment. | 4 (Medium - High) |
| M02-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Two Person Dinghy Events | • Event/ equipment has remained largely unchanged since its introduction within the Olympics in 1976.  
• Race format has moderate broadcast appeal.  
• The 470 has limited youth appeal due to the highly technical nature of the equipment and subtle complexities of the style of racing. | 2 (Medium - Low) |

2. Prioritise universality and maximise the accessibility of the sport.

| M01-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Kiteboarding Events | • Within the event, potential for universality due to moderate cost level of equipment.  
• With an 18 Boat minimum per Event, there are a 78 incremental athlete quota places for universality assignment. | 3 (Medium) |
| M02-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Two Person Dinghy Events | • Within the 2016 cycle the men’s two person and women’s two person dinghy event (470) had 43 countries and 33 countries participating respectively.  
• With an 18 Boat minimum per Event, there are a 42 incremental athlete quota places for universality assignment. | 2 (Medium - Low) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M01-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Kiteboarding Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• OC - no change to current Paris 2024 slate, slight increase in boat park space from Tokyo 2020 slate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NOC/NF – Kiteboard is a newer Olympic event and is still within its infancy in established Olympic countries requiring larger investment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Athlete – Standardised equipment is comparatively moderate to low in cost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Athlete equipment sponsorship has already been established to further reduce costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (Medium)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M02-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Two Person Dinghy Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• OC - slight increase to Paris 2024 slate with boat park, equipment and workforce, no change from Tokyo 2020 slate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NOC/NF – Men’s and Women’s Two Person Dinghy Events is a moderate cost event to compete within however the proximity of the 2024 Games will mean existing equipment will retain relevance, reducing cost. Existing programs are already in place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Athlete – As per above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (Medium)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M01-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Kiteboarding Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The proposed Cup-Final format is comprehensible to non-sailors and sailors alike.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Short races are a plus with studies suggesting narrowing of the collective global attention span.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Loses the very particular signature of Relay as opposed to some form of fleet racing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (Medium)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M02-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Two Person Dinghy Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Not an innovative format that can be easily differentiated from some of the other events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The proposed format is not easily comprehensible for non-sailors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (Medium - Low)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Youth Appeal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M01-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Kiteboarding Events</th>
<th>Sailor</th>
<th>Non-sailor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                                                           |        | • Individual Kiteboard Events are fairly new compared to the other proposed alternatives with a full kiteboarding pathway forming through a newly introduced in 2020 IKA - A’S Class and further through WS Youth Worlds and YOG. | • Fast and attractive racing  
  • Appeals to beach culture/lifestyle |
|                                                           | 4 (Medium - High) |  |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M02-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Two Person Dinghy Events</th>
<th>Sailor</th>
<th>Non-sailor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                                                             |        | • Many established MNAs have a pathway leading to the 470 Olympic program.  
  • Not an innovative exciting equipment or format |
|                                                             | 2 (Medium - Low) | • The proposed format is not easily comprehensible for non-sailors and has not proven to be engaging for the non-sailing youth audience in the past. |
### 6. Equipment

| M01-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Kiteboarding Events | • The proposed equipment (Formula Kite) is considered suitable for the proposed event(s). Selected by Council for the Mixed Event following a tender process it was recognised as the best aligned with the Equipment Criteria which would still apply.  
• The Formula Kite registered series production scheme provides options to accommodate different athlete weight ranges and styles serving either for a mixed event or individual gender events.  
• Is understood to be in compliance with the Olympic Equipment Policy (FRAND) providing access to qualified manufacturers.  
• The class rules are updated and provide the means to control the use of equipment. Inspection methods exists at both event and production level. |

| M02-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Two Person Dinghy Events | • The proposed equipment (470) is considered the best suited for the proposed event(s) as selected by Council for previous Men’s and Women’s two persons dinghy events.  
• The Equipment has been proven to be fit for Olympic Competition.  
• By virtue of being a measurement controlled class it is understood to be in compliance with the Olympic Equipment Policy (FRAND).  
• The class rules are updated and provide the means to control the use of equipment. Inspection methods exists at both event and production level. |

|       | 5 (High) |
7. Media

| M01-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Kiteboarding Events | Picturesque, unique and innovative equipment may draw media attention.  
• Short racing with target times of 12 minutes for fleet racing and 6 minutes for semi-finals and finals make it suitable to broadcast and attract new audience.  
• The Cup-final system is easy to understand for sailors and non-sailors alike.  
• Close to shore, easy for spectators to watch and media to cover with commentator on shore.  
• Potential to attract new media | 4 (Medium - High) |
| M02-21 - Individual Men’s and Women’s Two Person Dinghy Events | Fleet Racing is difficult to showcase to non-sailors without skilled and experienced commentators.  
• Racing is long and may be monotonous for non-sailors.  
• The medal race format, if not modified, is not media friendly. It allows in some cases for the Olympic Champion to finish the race in the back of the fleet.  
• Hard for media to cover. | 2 (Medium - Low) |

**Conclusion**

The Working Party strongly believes that following the IOC criteria is fundamental to retain the 10th medal. Despite the individual merits of each event, it is important to consider the impact of the events as a complete slate of 10 separate events. Due to the extremely short time frame of the decision-making process and the fact that the 2024 Olympic Games are slightly over 3 years away, it is important to consider proven events with readily available equipment to create the least disruption to MNAs while delivering an attractive Olympic event slate that complies with the IOC criteria. We believe both Submission M01-21 and M02-21 achieve this goal.

The recommendation from this Working Party is that either the Kiteboard or 470 mixed gender events be split to create the alternative event proposal that is being requested by the IOC. This is represented by submissions M01-21 and M02-21. Table 2 summaries the scoring of the Working Party based on the subjective criteria. Being that these criteria are subjective we feel these qualities, as outlined above, should be debated by the experts in the Events and Equipment Committees and not be the sole remit of this group.
## Appendix 1: Alternative Event Submissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Submission</th>
<th>Alternative Event Proposal</th>
<th>Submissions WP considerations</th>
<th>Submissions WP recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M01-21 • IKA Formula Kite Association Class • St. Kitts and Nevis and Sailing Association • Barbados Sailing Association Inc • Trinidad &amp; Tobago Yachting Association • MEX MNA • St Vincent and the Grenadines Sailing Association • Antigua and Barbuda Sailing Association • Norwegian Sailing Federation – and Estonian Yachting Union and the Danish Sailing Association • Federacion Dominicana de Vela</td>
<td>Individual Men’s and Women’s Kiteboard Events – Formula Kite</td>
<td>Aligns with Regulation 23 IOC Criteria (i) (ii) and (iii): Changing a 2-person event for a singlehanded event has a quota benefit to the other events and assists universality It reduces equipment costs as sailors train on race kit and then use different boards, lines and kites for relay (short course) racing. MNA’s and sailors are currently using 2 sets of equipment for one medal</td>
<td>Meets objective criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M02-21 • International 470 Class Association • International 420 Class Association • Chinese Yachting Association • Japan Sailing Federation</td>
<td>Individual Men’s and Women’s Two Person Dinghy Events - 470</td>
<td>Aligns with Regulation 23 Format proposed of Fleet racing as currently used isn’t adding innovation or unique USP (IOC Agenda 2020/ WS 2018 WP)</td>
<td>Meets objective criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M03-21 Team Racing Sub Committee, endorsed by the Chairman of the Events Committee</td>
<td>Mixed Team Racing – Laser Radial</td>
<td>Does not meet IOC Criteria 4 (World Championships): World Sailing has not organised a Mixed Team Racing – ILCA 6 World Championships IOC Criteria 5 (Cost and Complexity): Disruption to MNA’s, it is a new event that adds costs, particularly from the number of specialist officials needed and the supplied equipment cost (refurbishing boats and branding if existing used or cost for new). The WP had many positives about this Event which could be tested for the future.</td>
<td>Does not meet objective criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M04-21</td>
<td>International Star Class Yacht Class Racing Association</td>
<td>Mixed Two Person Keelboat - Star</td>
<td>IOC Criteria 4 (World Championships): World Sailing has not organised a mixed gender event in the Star. IOC criteria 5 (Cost and Complexity): this will add cost and complexity. Disruption to MNA’s. IOC defines “Open” and “mixed” – they are different. Does not meet Criteria 4 Only sailed on 4 continents (According to submission)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M05-21</td>
<td>Match Racing Sub Committee, endorsed by the Chairman of the Events Committee</td>
<td>Mixed Match Racing Four Person Keelboat - TBC</td>
<td>IOC criteria 4 (World Championships): World Sailing has not organised a 4-person, mixed gender Match Racing World Championships. Regarding IOC criteria 5 (Cost and Complexity): this will add cost and complexity, creating disruption to MNA’s Quota impact - submission requests 8 teams of 4= 32 sailors so it implies a reduction in quota, but with 6 continents it is unlikely World’s best sailors will qualify.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M06-21</td>
<td>International FAREAST 28R Class Association</td>
<td>Mixed Match Racing Two Person Keelboat – Far East 19</td>
<td>IOC Criteria 4 (World Championships): Far East 19 is not a World Sailing International Class and therefore we have limited information about this boat, WS has not organised a World Championships. IOC Criteria 5 (Cost and Complexity): this will add cost and complexity. Disruption to MNA’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M07-21</td>
<td>Bermuda Sailing Association</td>
<td>Mixed Match Racing Two Person Keelboat - TBC</td>
<td>IOC Criteria 4 (World Championships) World Sailing has not organised a mixed gender match racing World Championship.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Class/Association</td>
<td>Boat Type/Event</td>
<td>IOC Criteria 4 (World Championships)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M08-21</td>
<td>Int. 2.4mR Class</td>
<td>Mixed One Person Keelboat - 2.4m</td>
<td>World Sailing has not organised a Mixed One Person Keelboat World Championship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M09-21</td>
<td>International Laser Class Association (ILCA)</td>
<td>Mixed One Person Dinghy Slalom Relay – ILCA 6 and ILCA 7</td>
<td>World sailing has not organised a Mixed One Person Dinghy Slalom Relay – ILCA 6 and ILCA 7 World Championships.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M10-21</td>
<td>International Finn Association</td>
<td>Mixed One Person Dinghy Team – Finn and ILCA 6</td>
<td>World sailing has not organised a Mixed One Person Dinghy Team – ILCA 6 and Finn World Championships.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M11-21</td>
<td>International Finn Association and the International Europe Class Union</td>
<td>Mixed One Person Dinghy Team – Finn and Europe</td>
<td>IOC Criteria 4 (World Championships): World sailing has not organised a Mixed One Person Dinghy Team – Europe and Finn World Championships. IOC Criteria 5: Complex – Europe Equipment not currently in use by Teams so costs to adapt at this stage in the cycle would be high. Combined results events previously declined by IOC in other sports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| M12-21  | Bulgarian Sailing Federation | Men’s One Person Dinghy and Women’s One Person Dinghy - Finn and Europe | As the template is not complete it is not clear what this Event is. Appears to be asking for an 11th medal, which is not possible. Not compliant. | Does not meet objective criteria |

| M13-21  | Finnish Sailing and Boating Federation | Men’s One Person Dinghy and Women’s Two Person Dinghy – Finn and 470 | Does not comply with Reg 23.1.11(c) Not possible to maintain gender equity at quota, Event and medal levels – does not meet IOC requirements | Does not meet objective criteria |

| M14-21  | Finnish Sailing and Boating Federation and Estonian Yachting Union | Open - One Person Dinghy - Finn | IOC defines “open” and “mixed” – this is Open Event therefore fails IOC Criteria 2. Cannot guarantee gender Equality at medal level – also fails Criteria 2 | Does not meet objective criteria |

| M15-21  | Swedish Sailing Federation | Men’s One Person Dinghy - Finn | Does not meet Criteria 2. This is an “Open” event, not “mixed” | Does not meet objective criteria |
Council Agenda Item 7(a) – Constitution Committee

Recommendations Not Based On Submissions

There are no recommendations not based on submissions from the Constitution Committee.
Council Agenda Item 7(b) – Board

Recommendations Not Based on Submissions

Judicial Board Appointments

1. Background
2. Recommendation to Council

1. **Background**

   Article 77 of the World Sailing Constitution (‘Constitution’) provides that Council must appoint a Judicial Board at its first meeting after each ordinary meeting of the General Assembly. The last ordinary meeting of the General Assembly was held in November 2020, therefore Council is required to appoint a new Judicial Board at the 2021 mid-year meeting.

   Further to the Constitution and Regulation 35, the Judicial Board is responsible for ensuring the independence and integrity of the disciplinary system for World Sailing. The Judicial Board does not investigate or hear cases, rather it ensures that all investigatory and disciplinary functions are carried out by the appropriate personnel and panels.

   Article 78 of the Constitution provides that in appointing members to the Judicial Board, Council should note the following requirements (emphasis added):

   (a) Members shall be proficient in the English language,
   (b) Members shall have a legal background,
   (c) Members shall have a substantial yachting and sports background,
   (d) Members shall be persons of the highest integrity for the world yachting community.

   To assist Council identify suitable candidates, the Executive Office published a request for expressions of interest on 23 March 2021. The Executive Office received 22 responses.

   Although Council appoints Judicial Board members at its discretion, the Executive Office and Board have reviewed the expressions of interest to develop a short list of candidates based on the above criteria and the following additional criteria which the Board felt was important: gender representation, geographical representation, common law experience and no other World Sailing appointments. The Board also considers it important that the Judicial Board have 7 members to ensure it is able to function effectively and efficiently.

   In the Board’s view, the following individuals are the most suitable candidates for appointment to the Judicial Board based on the above criteria:

   (a) Hon. Ruth Miller
   (b) Alberto Predieri
   (c) Prof. Bruce Collins QC
(d) Mark Yeadon  
(e) Dr. Anne Jakob  
(f) Dr. David Sharpe QC SC  
(g) Carol Roberts

Further information about the Board’s recommended candidates is set out in ‘Judicial Board Applicants - Appendix 1’, below.

A full summary of all individuals who submitted expressions of interest is set out in ‘Judicial Board Applicants - Appendix 2’, below.

2. **Recommendations to Council**

**Board**
The Board recommends that Council appoint the following individuals to the Judicial Board:

(a) Hon. Ruth Miller (as Chair)  
(b) Alberto Predieri (as Vice Chair)  
(c) Prof. Bruce Collins QC  
(d) Mark Yeadon  
(e) Dr. Anne Jakob  
(f) Dr. David Sharpe QC SC  
(g) Carol Roberts
Judicial Board Applicants - Appendix 1

(a) **Hon. Ruth Miller** – US Virgin Islands (N. America) – Common law: well reputed former Judicial Board Chair; existing member of the Judicial Board which will provide continuity; a Magistrate Judge.

(b) **Alberto Predieri** - Italy (Europe) - Not common law (civil law): Long experience with World Sailing.

(c) **Prof. Bruce Collins QC** - Australia (Oceana) – Common law: existing member of the Judicial Board which will provide continuity; well qualified.

(d) **Mark Yeadon** - Hong Kong (Asia) - Common law: highly qualified barrister; has acted as a Disciplinary Investigating Officer for World Sailing.

(e) **Dr. Anne Jakob** - Germany (Europe) - Not common law (civil law): experience with the IAAF including drafting their Code of Ethics; arbitrator for the German CAS and German esports.

(f) **Dr. David Sharpe QC SC** - Ireland (Europe) - Common law: member of Sports Resolution; very usefully is a medical doctor, utilising his medical knowledge in relation to anti-doping matters; serves as the Legal Chair on the Board of Appeal for the International Paralympic Committee; has experience in Chairing disciplinary panels of public bodies.

(g) **Carol Roberts** – Canada (N. America) - Common law: experience in CAS, Sports Resolution and Anti-Doping cases, oversees Judicial appointments in Canada.
Judicial Board Applicants - Appendix 2

1. **Hon. Ruth Miller**
   Honourable Ruth Miller has extensive legal experience and most recently has been a US Virgin Islands Magistrate Judge for the last 11 years. She has strong sailing experience and a solid understanding of the workings of the Judicial Board.

2. **Prof. Bruce Collins QC**
   Bruce is a highly accomplished Australian Barrister, admitted to practice in both Australia and England & Wales. He has practiced extensively in arbitration for over 36 years, specialising in commercial and construction disputes. Bruce is highly active across sport and is a member of CAS. Sport Resolutions (UK) and has experience with various sporting and specialist panels.

3. **Luis Velasco**
   Luis is an Argentinian lawyer with over 40 years of experience. His practice focuses on complex litigation in white collar crime, corporate governance and other criminal matters. He is also a professor of criminal law and procedure. Luis is highly involved in sailing in Argentina.

4. **Mark Yeadon**
   Mark is a Partner in the Commercial Disputes practice of Eversheds Sutherland (Hong Kong), with 40 years of practice. He has extensive experience advising on public law matters and the conduct of inquiries and investigations. Mark has been sailing and racing for over 50 years and is familiar with World Sailing’s regulatory framework, having acted as a Disciplinary Investigations Officer for World Sailing in 2020.

5. **Dr. Anne Jakob**
   With over 25 years’ experience, Anne is the founder and principal of her own law firm specialising in sports law. Based out of Germany, she is extensively involved with a variety of sporting organisations and bodies and acts as an arbitrator for the German Court of Arbitration for Sport, the German E-Sports Federation and German Hockey League. Anne is a former GDR athlete and sails for leisure. She has further experience as a member of the IAAF Juridical Commission where she drafted the IAAF Code of Ethics and has served as a member of the European Athletics Anti-Doping Commission in the past.

6. **Dr. David Sharpe QC SC**
David is a Queens Counsel (UK and Caribbean) and Senior Counsel (Ireland) with over 20 years’ experience involving extensive judicial and arbitral work. He is a member of Sports Resolutions (UK) and Sport Dispute Solutions Ireland. David is also a doctor and utilises his medical knowledge in relation to anti-doping matters. He serves as the Legal Chair on the Board of Appeal for the International Paralympic Committee and has experience in Chairing disciplinary panels of public bodies.

7. Carol Roberts
Carol is a lawyer with over 30 years’ experience primarily in alternative dispute resolution. She has served on the Sport Dispute Resolution Center of Canada as an arbitrator and mediator for 20 years and is also a member of the CAS Anti-Doping Division and Sport Resolutions (UK). Carol has further experience in ethics and disciplinary matters for the British Columbia Law Society and Bar Association where she vetted candidates for judicial appointments for six years. Carol has an athletic background in figure skating.

8. William Norris QC
William Norris is a Queens Counsel (UK) with over 45 years’ experience and an experienced arbitrator and mediator in sports law. He is a panel chairman for Sport Resolutions (UK), World Athletics, The FA, and until recently, the Independent Judicial Panel of the British Horse Racing Association. William has sailed and raced all his life.

9. Joanne Keen
Jo is a General Counsel with over 25 years legal experience. Her in-house practice involves management of disciplinary investigations and other variety of regulatory and governance matters. Jo is involved in sailing at all levels and is a former member of the World Sailing Ethics Commission and sits on the Board of Australian Sailing.

10. Tim O’Connor
Tim is an Irish Barrister and has been practicing for over 20 years in the areas of sports law, regulatory/public law and judicial review. He also lectures on sports law and has a variety of sport specific legal publications. He has been sailing and racing since the age of 10.

11. Alberto Predieri
Alberto Predieri is a lawyer with over 25 years of legal experience and is the co-founder and Partner of the Italian law firm – de Bedin & Lee studio legale associato. He has been a sailor for over 30 years and has been heavily involved in World Sailing as a Vice-President (2008-2012) and member of the Constitution Committee (2004-2008) as well as holding senior positions in the 470 Class between 1998 and 2008. Alberto has unspecified experience with civil and arbitral proceedings.
12. Alastair Campbell  
Alastair is a Partner at the English law firm ‘Level Law’. With eight years’ experience in commercial, regulatory and sports disputes. He has significant experience conducting proceedings before disciplinary tribunals considering professional misconduct.

13. Stevie Loughrey  
Stevie is a Partner at the English law firm, Onside Law. With twelve years’ experience, he handles commercial litigation, arbitration and regulatory disputes for sports sector clients.

14. Dr. Jens Holscher  
With 13 years of legal practice, Jens is the founder and principal of her own law firm specialising in intellectual property, competition and contract law. Jens has been involved in sailing and the organisation of regattas for over 20 years and until last year, was the Chairman of the German International 14 Class.

15. Dr. Dieter Neuport  
Dieter is a Swiss lawyer. His application does not specify the duration of his practice, or his practice area. He has experience as a National Judge and as the Chairman of the Swiss Aviation Arbitration Court. He has long been involved in sailing and as sat on or chaired numerous World Sailing and national sailing committees and is a former member of the WS Ethics Commission.

16. Ahmadreza Barati  
Ahmadreza is an Iranian lawyer and identifies himself as having 20 years’ experience in law and sports legal affairs, regulatory frameworks and disciplinary proceedings. He has worked as an arbitrator for CAS and Sport Resolutions (UK) and is heavily involved with a variety of football associations.

17. Dr. Zachary Calo  
Zachary is primarily a legal academic based out of Qatar, having briefly worked for a law firm in Washington DC between 2005 and 2007. He acts as an arbitrator and mediator for Sports Resolutions (UK) and the Qatar Sports Arbitration Tribunal. Zachary has recently published a variety of papers on sports law in respect of disputes and ethics.

18. Gavin Dingley  
Gavin is an English Barrister with 4/5 years of practice covering commercial litigation, sports, professional discipline and public law. He currently sits on 9 national level sports panels for disciplinary, safeguarding and conduct matters.

19. Edward Bayliss
Edward was called to the English bar in 2012 although it is not clear if he has acted as a barrister. He qualified as a solicitor with Higher Rights of Audience in 2019 and currently works for a law firm on shipping and maritime disputes in court and arbitration proceedings. Edward regularly competes in yacht racing.

20. David Jon Lees
David is retired from legal practice in 2002 and it is not clear what areas of law he covered during his career. He has been involved in sailing throughout his life and previously sat on and chaired the World Sailing Constitution Committee between 2004 and 2012. David is currently a WS International Judge.

21. Tijana Zivkovic
Tijana is a Serbian qualified lawyer admitted to the bar in Serbia in 2020. She has worked pre-qualification in the sports law sector for the last 7 years and has experience in international litigation, CAS arbitration and dispute resolution bodies within federations such as FIFA, UEFA and FIBA.

22. Carlos Arrebola
Carlos is a newly qualified solicitor based in the UK. His practice covers commercial disputes and he has some sailing experience however due to his inexperience he is felt not be suitable for a position on the judicial Board.
Council Agenda Item 7(c) – Events Committee

Recommendations Not Based On Submissions

There are no recommendations not based on submissions from the Event Committee.
Council Agenda Item 7(d) – Equipment Committee

Recommendations Not Based On Submissions

Deferred applications for World Sailing Class Status

RS21 Class Application

Recommendation to Council: Approve subject to signing a contract.

Comments: The Equipment Committee recommends approving the deferred application of the RS21 Class Association for World Sailing Class Status and noted some minor class rule changes to be updated.